

International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning

Volume 7 Number 1 Article 16

1-2013

Student Preferences for Live Versus Virtual Rats in a Learning Course

Mirari Elcoro

Armstrong Atlantic State University, Mirari. Elcoro@armstrong.edu

Melissa Trundle

Armstrong Atlantic State University, stormytru@comcast.net

Recommended Citation

Elcoro, Mirari and Trundle, Melissa (2013) "Student Preferences for Live Versus Virtual Rats in a Learning Course," *International Journal for the Scholarship of Teaching and Learning*: Vol. 7: No. 1, Article 16.

Available at: https://doi.org/10.20429/ijsotl.2013.070116



Student Preferences for Live Versus Virtual Rats in a Learning Course

Abstract

We examined the preference of undergraduate students for a live or a virtual rat when learning about concepts of operant conditioning. Students were provided with the opportunity to directly compare a virtual and a live rat in a supplemental exercise for Learning courses. We argue that the design of teaching exercises should involve a systematic examination of student preferences between different available techniques. In general, students preferred a live rat over a virtual rat when learning concepts in operant conditioning, specifically a fixed-ratio schedule of reinforcement. Students also listed advantages and disadvantages of using a virtual rat versus a live rat. These aspects evaluated by students are compared and contrasted with those provided by experts who have reviewed these learning exercises.

Keywords

Learning, Operant conditioning, Rat, Sniffy, Student preferences; Undergraduate education



Student Preferences for Live Versus Virtual Rats in a Learning Course

Mirari Elcoro

Armstrong Atlantic State University
Savannah, Georgia, USA
Mirari, Elcoro@armstrong.edu

Melissa B. Trundle Armstrong
Atlantic State University Savannah,
Georgia, USA
stormytru@comcast.net

Abstract

We examined the preference of undergraduate students for a live or a virtual rat when learning about concepts of operant conditioning. Students were provided with the opportunity to directly compare a virtual and a live rat in a supplemental exercise for Learning courses. We argue that the design of teaching exercises should involve a systematic examination of student preferences between different available techniques. In general, students preferred a live rat over a virtual rat when learning concepts in operant conditioning, specifically a fixed-ratio schedule of reinforcement. Students also listed advantages and disadvantages of using a virtual rat versus a live rat. These aspects evaluated by students are compared and contrasted with those provided by experts who have reviewed these learning exercises.

Keywords: learning; operant conditioning; rat; Sniffy; student preferences; undergraduate education

Introduction

Scientific thinking is a highly complex human behavior and, as such, it presents challenges when teaching it (Skinner, 1956). Hands-on experiences via courses with laboratory components to apply research methods offer an opportunity to explicitly train students in scientific thinking. This point is in line with Goal 2 of the American Psychological Association's (APA) guidelines for psychology majors, which states that students should value, understand, and be able to apply the basic research methods of psychology (Halonen et al., 2007). More specifically, students should be provided with more opportunities to learn through contingency-shaped behavior, which relies on direct contact with the subject matter, than with rule-governed behavior, which is mainly the verbal description of the behavior in question (Graf, 1995; Heward & Malott, 1995).

Several undergraduate psychology courses expand on principles of operant conditioning. Sometimes these Learning courses are accompanied with laboratory work, but not all colleges have a non-human animal (hereafter, animal) laboratory (Cunningham, 2003). Although animal laboratories have played a major role in psychology, maintenance costs,



federal regulations, and other factors have led to a decline in the use of animals for research and teaching (Plous, 1996). The growing availability and demand for online education (Villanueva, 2011) may further decrease the presence of this type of experience, as an animal laboratory component cannot be included in a fully online course.

In an attempt to find a suitable alternative to maintaining an animal laboratory, several computer simulations of animal laboratories have been developed. One of these programs, Sniffy the Virtual Rat (Alloway, Wilson, & Graham, 2005; hereafter referred to as Sniffy) was initially created in 1991 and has been revised three times. It is marketed as a more affordable way for students to have hands-on access to exercises with classical and operant conditioning. Alloway et al. (2005) stated that cost of equipment and ethical concerns about using animals humanely have led to Learning courses being taught primarily in a lecture format with no laboratory. Venneman and Knowles (2005) assessed learning outcomes with Sniffy used as a supplement to a Principles of Learning course and found that students who did homework using Sniffy scored significantly higher on exams, than students who spent two extra hours (beyond the time normally dedicated to exam preparation) studying schedules of reinforcement. Several researchers have reviewed versions of this program and agree that several modifications could improve it (Graf, 1995; Jakubow, 2007; Tomanari & Eckerman, 2003; Venneman & Knowles, 2005). Jakubow (2007) stated that Sniffy, although user friendly and adequate, presents some disadvantages relative to a live rat; for example, the animation of Sniffy can be somewhat choppy and it represents a limited model of a real animal which possesses a much more complex behavioral repertoire.

Carefully examining learning preferences helps in designing more engaging and effective learning experiences (Zhang & Bonk, 2009). Also, knowing student preferences stimulates important reflections on teaching that can help tailor learning experiences to maximize student engagement (Zhang & Bonk, 2009). Engagement here is broadly defined as students' attention, participation, and performance that lead to understanding and success in an academic environment (Skinner & Belmont, 1993). Enhancing engagement through active learning (Guenther & Miller, 2011) sets the occasion to teach scientific thinking in the laboratory. Discovery-oriented and student-active teaching methods promote engagement, motivation, and responsibility centered in the student instead of the instructor (Cherney, 2011).

Whether students prefer a live or a virtual rat in their learning experience has yet to be examined carefully. We propose that student preferences on this matter should be valued when designing teaching exercises, and even when pondering the potential substitution of a computer simulation for an animal laboratory. This study examined the preferences of undergraduate students from two upper-division Learning courses on the use of Sniffy and a live rat when learning about some operant conditioning concepts.

In the present study, each student was given the opportunity to directly compare the same exercise with Sniffy and with a live rat. These laboratory-based exercises were a supplement to the contents of each of the courses. The study was conducted primarily to closely examine what students think about the utility of these two options when learning schedules of reinforcement and, specifically, which one they prefer. A questionnaire about general preferences between the two types of exercises was created by the authors; it included items on enjoyment, interest, learning concepts, ethical issues about animal research, and generalizability. To further examine student perspectives on animal research



in psychology, a modified scale of attitudes towards animal research (Angelucci & Hernandez, 2002) based on Plous's (1996) scale was also used.

Method

Participants

Twenty-four undergraduate students enrolled in upper-division Learning courses participated in this study as part of the course activities. Both were upper-division undergraduate courses are required for Psychology majors at Armstrong Atlantic State University. There were two men and 22 women, whose ages ranged from 19 to 53 years old (M = 25).

Materials

The virtual rat portions of the study were conducted using an Inspiron E1505 Laptop with Sniffy the Virtual Rat Pro Version 2.0. The live rat portions of the study were conducted using a desktop IBM compatible computer interfaced to a standard operant chamber for rats (Coulbourn Inst., PA) with experimental events controlled by Graphic State software (Coulbourn Inst., PA). Sucrose pellets (45 mg) functioned as reinforcers and delivered via a handswitch. Six male, albino, Sprague Dawley rats (Charles River, NC) ranging in age from 14-20 months old served as subjects. All rats had been previously exposed to various schedules of positive reinforcement. Laboratory procedures conducted with animals were approved by the Animal Care and Use Committee at Armstrong Atlantic State University.

A 20-item questionnaire contained six open-ended questions and 14 questions in which students selected ratings. The open-ended questions were about potential concerns that students may have about working with either a live or a virtual rat. Also, these open-ended questions addressed advantages and disadvantages of working with either a live or a virtual rat. The remaining items addressed the following topics: students' enjoyment, whether an option (live or virtual) should be presented at the beginning of the course, if fear of working with live animals should exempt students from such work, how interesting each exercise was, whether Sniffy is an adequate representation of the behavior of a live rat, how helpful each type of exercise was in understanding the concept of an fixed-ratio (FR) schedule, how much patience it takes to work on each exercise, whether one type of exercise offers the opportunity to learn about ethics in animal research, and generalizability of results obtained from each type of exercise.

An English translation of a revised version of Plous's (1996) scale on attitude towards animal research in Psychology by Angelucci and Hernández (2002) was employed (also available upon request). The scale contained 26 statements that students could rate by selecting four possible options: totally disagree, disagree, agree, and totally agree. Institutional Review Board approval was obtained prior to students completing this survey.

Procedure

Participants were randomly assigned to start with either the live rat portion or the virtual rat portion of the study. Each lasted approximately 15 minutes and were conducted during the same session to ensure that participants could directly compare their experiences. After



completing both portions of the study, participants filled the questionnaire and scale described above.

In the live rat portion of the study, participants were provided spoken and written instructions (available upon request) for an exercise on leaning a FR schedule (i.e., progressive increasing the response requirement to earn a pellet). This exercise involved using a rat that had been previously trained to press a lever and immediately after consuming a 45-mg sucrose pellet. The goal of the activity was to progressively increase the lever-press requirement. Specifically, the student began the session by delivering 20 pellets under an FR 1 schedule, and then increased the requirement to an FR 2, deliver five pellets, and then increase the requirement to an FR 3, and so on. In sum, after increasing the requirement the first time (i.e., to an FR 2) the student had to deliver, using a handswitch, five pellets to move on to the next step. Some students observed ratio strain, and they were also given instructions on this: specifically, if pauses in between lever presses were longer than 10 s, then the requirement had to be decreased to the previous one. Participants were then given the option of handling the rat or having the experimenter handle the rat (i.e., moving the rat from its home cage to the experimental chamber) for the session.

In the virtual rat portion, participants were given written instructions for the same exercise described above. Sniffy had been previously trained to press a lever, so the same exercise on leaning an FR schedule was conducted. Upon completion of both portions, the participant completed the questionnaire and scale previously described.

Results

Overall, results from the questionnaire suggest that most students preferred the live rat over the virtual one. Table 1 shows the percentage of students' responses on the 14 items from the questionnaire that required rating answers. We summarize below the most relevant findings.

Table 1. Percentages of responses from the portion of the questionnaire that required students select an answer to rate statements.

,					
Did you enjoy working with?	Enjoyed very much	Enjoyed	Did not enjoy	Boring	Very Boring
Live	58.33	41.67	0.00	0.00	0.00
Virtual	12.50	54.17	33.33	0.00	0.00
How interesting was					
it to work with?	Very		Not		Very
	interesting	Interesting	interesting	Boring	Boring
Live	66.67	33.33	0.00	0.00	0.00
Virtual	20.83	45.83	29.17	4.17	0.00
How much patience	Too much	A lot	None	Very little	



Question/Statement

Answers

do yo	ou fee	l it wo	uld
take	to wo	rk with	า?

take to work with?				
Live Virtual	0.00 12.50	75.00 29.17	0.00 25.00	25.00 33.33
Helped me understand the concept of FR schedule.	Strongly agree	Agree	Disagree	Strongly disagree
Live Virtual	45.83 20.83	54.17 62.50	0.00 16.67	0.00 0.00
Learn more about the humane care and use of animals.				
Live Virtual	45.83 4.17	54.17 20.83	0.00 58.33	0.00 16.67
Results obtained are more generalizable				
Live Virtual	25.00 4.17	58.33 41.67	16.67 50.00	0.00 4.17
Live or virtual should be optional	37.50	50.00	12.50	0.00
Fear Exemption	0.00	25.00	62.50	12.50
Virtual adequately represents real behavior	29.17	50.00	20.83	0.00

When examining the exercise conducted with the live rat, all indicated that they enjoyed it and found it interesting. As for the exercise with the virtual rat, 66.67% enjoyed it and 33.3% did not enjoy it. Also, 29.17% of students rated the virtual rat as "not interesting", and 4.17% considered it "boring". Potential order effects were examined to determine whether students who disliked Sniffy experienced the live rat first or second, but no reliable results supported such effects.

All students indicated that the exercise with the live rat adequately aided in understanding the concept of a FR schedule, whereas with the virtual rat, 16.67% of students disagreed. We also asked students whether someone who fears working with live animals should be exempted from this portion of the course; 62.50% disagreed and 12.50% strongly disagreed. It should be noted that in the present study, when given the choice to handle the live rat versus having the experimenter handle the rat for them, all students chose to handle the live rat, even those who expressed concern about working with a live rat in the first item of the guestionnaire (two of 24).



All students indicated that the live rat helped them learn about ethical issues in animal research. Comparatively, when examining the virtual rat in this aspect of learning, 25 % of students agreed (combining strongly agreed and agreed), 58.33% disagreed, and 16.67% strongly disagreed. When asked if they thought the virtual rat adequately represented real behavior, 79.17 % agreed and 20.83% disagreed; however, 54.17 % disagreed with the fact that studies with a virtual rat would yield results generalizable to human behavior.

A summary of advantages and disadvantages of both live and virtual rats is presented on Table 2. Common answers were grouped by topic and the frequency of answers is shown in parentheses. The most frequently mentioned advantages of the live rat were experience with hands-on research which was listed 17 times. The most frequently mentioned disadvantage of the live rats was maintenance costs. The most frequently listed advantage of the virtual rat was that it may help people who are afraid of rats, and the most frequent disadvantage listed was that it does not adequately represents the behavior of the real animal.



Table 2. Summarized answers to open-ended answers to questionnaire. Frequencies are given in parentheses.

Live Rat		Virtual Rat			
Advantages	Disadvantages	Advantages	Disadvantages		
aining experience in hands-on research (17)	Maintenance costs (11)	May help people who are afraid of animals (7) Cheaper (3)	Loss of actual (real) animal behavior through computer simulation (14)		
o software nimals don't all behave the same way 	Fears, aversive to some students, including possible allergies (6) Time consuming (2) Animal rights	Seeing a graph as the experiment is conducted (2) Helped see what the live rat was probably going to do in	No hands-on experience, do not grasp the concepts as well (4)		
ore rewarding sing able to see the variability in living things		the chamber (2) Gives people the opportunity to experience animal research (at heart of conceptually) who don't have access to a lab (2)	Very boring (3) More far off from human application than an animal		
eeing the rat perform a task you shaped ore interesting		Cleaner It helps a person understand fixed ratio	It feels like playing a video game It can only do what it was taught, worthless when stud		
onding with the rat ot sitting in front of a computer for ng periods of time		Good perspective in how rats act/behave	new behavior No training on care or how to work with the animals		
an change more conditions ore errors to prepare better for future research		Helps to understand about FR without having to deal with the animal You might be able to test things not advisable on live rats	Not receiving full understanding of the study Less variability in behavior; less interactionless emph		
ccurate account of how the animal learns assign to manipulate and control		Good for learning, bad for research Background/understanding in applications	animal treatment Not getting to condition an animal		
etter experience eaches responsibility		and various concepts			



The percentages of students' answers to the attitude scale revised by Angelucci and Hernández (2002) are presented in Table 3. In general, students' attitudes towards animal research were supportive; students value this type of research as long as proper ethical guidelines are followed. Most students indicated that animal research is important for better understanding human behavior and that it is an important component in their education. More specifically, 95.84% of the students considered that the use of animals in research is necessary for the progress of science, that financial resources to support such research should be increased (95.83%) and that animal laboratory work should be a requirement for undergraduate psychology training (91.67% agreed). All students disagreed with the statement that animals in psychological research are treated cruelly, and also with the statement that animals used in research experience pain and unnecessary ill treatment. At the same time, 95.33% of students totally agreed that ethical guidelines are required to conduct research with animals in psychology.

Table 3. Percentages derived from answers to the scale by Angelucci and Hernandez (2002).



Totally disagree	Survey Statement	Responses			
The use of animals in research is necessary for the progress of science 0.00 4.17 54.17 41.67			Disagree	Aaree	•
Financial resources for the study of animal behavior Should be increased 0.00		-		<u>_</u>	_
Research on animal behavior increases our understanding of human phenomena	· · · · · ·	0.00	,	<u> </u>	,
Research on animal behavior increases our understanding of human phenomena 0.00 4.17 66.67 29.17		0.00	4.17	70.83	25.00
Animal research is necessary for improving human especial to pain and suffering upularly solution behavior is animal behavior for inhuman behavior Animal research with animals of the first animal behavior animals and humans is so great that animal research with animals of the first animal research with animals of the manimals and humans is so great that animal research ir renders very little information for understanding human behavior animals are constantly being expoling on 0.00 animals is essential for loop. I animal share the same rights and the manimals are subject to pain and universearch animals are subject to pain and universearch is necessary for improving human and suffering 0.00 animals is essential for applied research 0.00 animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 animals are subject to pain and suffering 0.00 animals animal research is necessary for improving human and suffering 0.00 animals and the subject of the sub			4 17		
Animal laboratory work should be a requirement for undergraduate psychology training 0.00 8.33 50.00 41.67		0.00	4.17	66.67	29.17
Animals used in psychology training					
Animal research requires ethical guidelines to be carried out 0.00 4.17 33.33 62.50 Animal research allows for better control to study behavior 0.00 4.17 54.17 41.67 Animals have the same rights as humans 0.00 33.33 41.67 25.00 The use of animals is essential for applied research 0.00 4.17 70.83 25.00 During research, animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 54.17 0.00 0.00 Essults obtained in animal research correspond to reality 0.00 8.33 79.17 12.50 Animals research is necessary for improving human quality of life 0.00 4.17 50.00 45.83 Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior is as important as research in human behavior are individually of the folial payor of a clinical psychology of the folial psychology of a clinical psychology of the folial ps		0.00	8.33	50.00	41.67
Name	Animals used in psychology research are treated cruelly	45.83	54.17	0.00	0.00
Animal research allows for better control to study behavior	Animal research requires ethical guidelines to be carried				
behavior 0.00 4.17 54.17 41.67 Animals have the same rights as humans 0.00 33.33 41.67 25.00 The use of animals is essential for applied research 0.00 4.17 70.83 25.00 During research, animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 54.17 0.00 0.00 Results obtained in animal research correspond to reality 0.00 8.33 79.17 12.50 Animal research is necessary for improving human quality of life 0.00 12.50 62.50 25.00 Animals have the right to avoid pain and suffering 0.00 4.17 50.00 45.83 Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior 0.00 4.17 50.00 45.83 Research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena 0.00 4.17 70.83 25.00 One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals 4.17 66.67 16.67 12.50 The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology 12.50 79.17 <t< td=""><td>out</td><td>0.00</td><td>4.17</td><td>33.33</td><td>62.50</td></t<>	out	0.00	4.17	33.33	62.50
Animals have the same rights as humans0.0033.3341.6725.00The use of animals is essential for applied research0.004.1770.8325.00During research, animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment45.8354.170.000.00Results obtained in animal research correspond to reality0.008.3379.1712.50Animal research is necessary for improving human quality of life0.004.1750.0045.83Animals have the right to avoid pain and suffering0.004.1750.0045.83Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior0.0016.6766.6716.67Animal experiments are inadmissible20.8362.5016.670.00Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena0.004.1770.8325.00One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals4.1766.6716.6712.50The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology12.5083.334.170.00Psychologyts working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.0058.334.170.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology </td <td>Animal research allows for better control to study</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	Animal research allows for better control to study				
The use of animals is essential for applied research During research, animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment Results obtained in animal research correspond to reality Animal research is necessary for improving human quality of life Animals have the right to avoid pain and suffering Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior Animal experiments are inadmissible Animal experiments are inadmissible Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals and humans is so great that animal research is renders very little information for understanding human behavior Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology Psychology working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist	behavior	0.00	4.17	54.17	41.67
During research, animals are subject to pain and unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 54.17 0.00 0.00 unnecessary ill treatment 45.83 54.17 0.00 0.00 0.00 escapital treatment 0.00 8.33 79.17 12.50 Animal research is necessary for improving human 0.00 12.50 62.50 25.00 quality of life 0.00 4.17 50.00 45.83 escape chi in animal behavior is as important as research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior is as important as research in human behavior is might be a simportant for understanding certain psychological phenomena 0.00 4.17 70.83 25.00 example of a chief or in the first part of the first par	Animals have the same rights as humans	0.00	33.33	41.67	25.00
unnecessary ill treatment45.8354.170.000.00Results obtained in animal research correspond to reality0.008.3379.1712.50Animal research is necessary for improving human quality of life0.0012.5062.5025.00Animals have the right to avoid pain and suffering0.004.1750.0045.83Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior0.0016.6766.6716.67Animal experiments are inadmissible20.8362.5016.670.00Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena0.004.1770.8325.00One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals4.1766.6716.6712.50The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior12.5079.178.330.00Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology12.5083.334.170.00Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.0050.0050.0050.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training	The use of animals is essential for applied research	0.00	4.17	70.83	25.00
Animal research is necessary for improving human quality of life Animals have the right to avoid pain and suffering Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior Animal experiments are inadmissible Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior Animal research is renders very little information for understanding human behavior Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited Animal rese arch is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologists an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologists an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologists an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologists an important requirement in the training on the search is an important requirement in the training on the search is an important requirement in the training on the search is an important requirement in the training on the search in a search is an important requirement in the training on the search in a search in		45.83	54.17	0.00	0.00
quality of life0.0012.3062.3025.00Animals have the right to avoid pain and suffering0.004.1750.0045.83Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior0.0016.6766.6716.67Animal experiments are inadmissible20.8362.5016.670.00Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena0.004.1770.8325.00One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals4.1766.6716.6712.50The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior12.5079.178.330.00Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology12.5083.334.170.00Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.0050.0050.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologists4.1733.3358.334.17	Results obtained in animal research correspond to reality	0.00	8.33	79.17	12.50
Animals have the right to avoid pain and suffering Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior Animal experiments are inadmissible Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior Animal research is important for understanding certain psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 12.50 Research is important for understanding certain o.000 4.17 70.83 25.00 4.17 66.67 16.67 12.50 79.17 8.33 0.00 Animal research is renders very little information for understanding human behavior 12.50 79.17 8.33 0.00 Psychology 12.50 83.33 4.17 0.00 Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 0.00 Ceneral principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist		0.00	12.50	62.50	25.00
Research in animal behavior is as important as research in human behavior Animal experiments are inadmissible Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research is renders very little information for understanding human behavior Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals Ceneral principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychologist Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist 10.00 16.67 16.67 16.67 16.67 12.50 79.17 8.33 0.00 79.17 8.33 0.00 79.17 8.33 0.00 50.00		0.00	4.17	50.00	45.83
in human behavior0.0016.6766.6716.67Animal experiments are inadmissible20.8362.5016.670.00Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena0.004.1770.8325.00One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals4.1766.6716.6712.50The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior12.5079.178.330.00Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology12.5083.334.170.00Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.0050.0050.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist4.1733.3358.334.17					
Animal research is important for understanding certain psychological phenomena One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology Psychology 12.50 Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals Ceneral principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist		0.00	16.67	66.67	16.67
One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals 4.17 66.67 16.67 12.50 The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior 12.50 79.17 8.33 0.00 Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology 12.50 83.33 4.17 0.00 Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 0.00 0.00 50.00 50.00 General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 70.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology 0.00 33.33 58.33 8.33 Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist	Animal experiments are inadmissible	20.83	62.50	16.67	
One can learn more doing research with a patient than doing research with animals 4.17 66.67 16.67 12.50 The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior 12.50 79.17 8.33 0.00 Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology 12.50 83.33 4.17 0.00 Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 0.00 0.00 50.00 50.00 General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology 0.00 33.33 58.33 8.33 experimental psychology 4.17 33.33 58.33 4.17	Animal research is important for understanding certain	0.00	4 17	70.02	25.00
doing research with animals4.1766.6716.6712.50The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior12.5079.178.330.00Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology12.5083.334.170.00Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.000.0050.0050.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist4.1733.3358.334.17	psychological phenomena	0.00	4.17	70.83	25.00
The difference between animals and humans is so great that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior 12.50 79.17 8.33 0.00 Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology 12.50 83.33 4.17 0.00 Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 0.00 0.00 50.00 50.00 General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist	One can learn more doing research with a patient than				_
that animal research it renders very little information for understanding human behavior 12.50 79.17 8.33 0.00 Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology 12.50 83.33 4.17 0.00 Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 0.00 0.00 50.00 50.00 General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist		4.17	66.67	16.67	12.50
understanding human behavior12.5079.178.330.00Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology12.5083.334.170.00Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.000.0050.0050.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist4.1733.3358.334.17	The difference between animals and humans is so great				
Animal research is valid in biomedicine, not so in psychology 12.50 83.33 4.17 0.00 Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 0.00 0.00 50.00 50.00 General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals 0.00 58.33 37.50 4.17 Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology 0.00 33.33 58.33 8.33 Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist 4.17 33.33 58.33 4.17					
psychology12.5083.334.170.00Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.000.0050.0050.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist4.1733.3358.334.17		12.50	79.17	8.33	0.00
Psychologists working with laboratory animals follow strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals 0.00 0.00 50.00 50.00 General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology 0.00 33.33 58.33 8.33 Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist 4.17 33.33 58.33 4.17	•				
strict rules that minimize any harm to the animals0.000.0050.0050.00General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist4.1733.3358.334.17		12.50	83.33	4.17	0.00
General principles of behavior can be obtained without the use of animals0.0058.3337.504.17Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited20.8370.838.330.00The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology0.0033.3358.338.33Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist4.1733.3358.334.17					
the use of animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology 0.00 33.33 58.33 8.33 Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist 4.17 33.33 58.33 4.17	_	0.00	0.00	50.00	50.00
Laboratory animals Laboratory animals are constantly being exploited 20.83 70.83 8.33 0.00 The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist 4.17 33.33 58.33 4.17		0.00	58.33	37.50	4.17
The use of animals is indispensable in teaching experimental psychology Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist 0.00 33.33 58.33 8.33 4.17					
experimental psychology Animal work is an important requirement in the training of a clinical psychologist 4.17 33.33 58.33 8.33 4.17		20.83	70.83	8.33	0.00
of a clinical psychologist		0.00	33.33	58.33	8.33
		4.17	33.33	58.33	4.17
Sacrificing one species for the survival of the other is a mistake for coexistence 8.33 29.17 54.17 4.17	Sacrificing one species for the survival of the other is a	8.33	29.17	54.17	4.17
Attitudes that predispose towards war and suicide are those that have one species impose itself upon another 4.17 41.67 37.50 8.33	Attitudes that predispose towards war and suicide are	4.17	41.67	37.50	8.33

Discussion

In this study, each student had the opportunity to directly experience the same exercise using both live and virtual rats during a session. The majority of students preferred to work with a live over a virtual rat when learning about operant conditioning and indicated that it was superior in helping them understand an FR schedule of reinforcement. Also, responses to the attitude scale suggest that most of the students who participated in this study value



animal research in psychology and consider it necessary in undergraduate training in order to more fully understand human behavior.

These results, taken together, suggest more student engagement (Guenther & Miller, 2011) with the live rat than with virtual rat. The most frequently listed advantages for the real rat, compared to those of the virtual rat, indicate that students prefer an active method (working with a live rat in the laboratory), over a passive (working with a virtual rats with a computer simulation) one. Such preference is consistent the definition of active learning provided by Cherney (2011) and that this method will likely promote more engagement than an active one.

In reviewing the literature for this study, we notice a parallel in the use of the terms *active* and passive learning by Cherney (2011) and the terms *contingency-based* and rule-governed behavior by Heward and Malott (1995). Specifically, active learning, is very similar to *contingency-based* learning. As stated in the introduction, providing students opportunities to directly contact the subject matter in the laboratory is a form of active learning. The terms *contingency-based* come from the behavior analytic literature, while active learning seem to be more broadly used. Noticing and examining such parallels may help in future integration of literature across fields and in building a dialogue between disciplines to strengthen the scholarship of teaching and learning.

Frequently, the issue of cost was also noted frequently by students in this study as a disadvantage of the exercise with the live rat. Cost is an undeniable issue for many universities; however, the results of our study indicate that using a virtual rat may come with a different cost, in that students are less engaged in the learning process.

Another source of difficulty in maintaining an animal laboratory is public opinion on animal research. Such scrutiny has hindered the communication of contributions from laboratory research even in some Introductory Psychology textbooks (Domjan & Purdy, 1995). More specifically, these authors carefully examined eight of these textbooks and concluded: "In obscuring the contributions of animal research, major general psychology textbooks miss the opportunity to educate the general public about the importance of psychology experiments with animals" (p. 501). We believe that the laboratory experience for undergraduate students in psychology will also effectively train students to learn directly about how the origin of many research methods, applied techniques, and general knowledge about behavior lies in laboratory work. The laboratory experience also offers an ideal opportunity to train responsibility to students, and even help some students overcome fears.

Experts who have reviewed several versions of Sniffy conclude that while the virtual program can be useful as a supplement to teaching, using it as an alternative may be less than optimal (Graf, 1995; Jakubow, 2007; Tomanari & Eckerman, 2003). Our results show that Sniffy adequately represents behavior and helps students learn, but with less engagement than the live rat. Several students in our study pointed out similar disadvantages of using the virtual rat (see Table 2) as those noted by reviewers such as Jakubow (2007); for example, that the behavioral repertoire of the virtual rat is more limited than that of the live rat.

It should be noted that even though limited, Sniffy conveys necessary information to students. Our results showed that many students found this form of the exercise valuable in understanding FR schedules (83.33%, combined strongly agreed and agreed). The

distribution of responses on this aspect, when comparing live and virtual rat was not that different; however, more students strongly agreed with the statement that the live rat helped them understand FR schedules.

In an undergraduate operant conditioning laboratory, there is value in using a virtual rat, but perhaps not as much as using a live rat. This is not surprising given the various reviews of Sniffy (Graf, 1995; Jakubow, 2007; Tomanari & Eckerman, 2003) that point out its strengths and weaknesses. Likewise, the students who participated in the present study were able to discern both the advantages and disadvantages of using a virtual rat. It is certainly a good thing in the absence of a laboratory, Sniffy provides students with a model of the next best thing.

We contend that by working with a live rat students are not only learning about the rat as a model of human behavior, but also learning about animal behavior. Sniffy is one model with a limited behavioral repertoire (Graham, Alloway, & Krames, 1994) compared to a live rat. In the laboratory, students work with more than one rat so they also witness differences in training different animals (i.e., individual differences).

Limitations and Future Research

The present study examined a limited number of operant conditioning concepts in one exercise; future studies could examine more concepts, such a variable ratio schedules. Because the present study focused on the examination of student preferences and engagement, there was no analysis of actual learning outcomes. Other assessments including exams and assignments will be useful in further examining both student engagement and learning outcomes with each of these techniques.

Conclusions

The Learning-Centered Psychological Principles of the American Psychological Association's Board of Educational Affairs (1995, as cited by Cunningham, 2003) state that students need to make choices about learning consistent with their personal interests. Studies such as the present one, that systematically examine student preferences about learning exercises, are a suitable approach to design better teaching techniques and maximize student engagement.

Despite some limitations, Sniffy is convenient, inexpensive, and useful in teaching basic learning concepts, especially when there is no option for an animal laboratory. We contend though, that the animal laboratory, at an undergraduate institution is an important direct experience with basic research, which is the root of many applied techniques in psychology. Many undergraduate students in psychology pursue applied positions or applied graduate programs in psychology which offer little or no exposure to basic research. For these students the Learning laboratory may be one of the few experiences with basic research they will have (Sidman, 2011; Skinner, 1956). It is critical, therefore, that the instructor promotes and emphasizes how skills required to excel in the laboratory (e.g., discipline, organization, critical thinking, application of scientific methods, use of instrumentation, data analysis, graphing, writing, ethical considerations) are also necessary to perform tasks in various professional activities. In this way, with proper instructional guidance, we can teach the value of basic research in psychology (Halonen et al., 2007).

Acknowledgements



Special thanks to Dr. Nancy McCarley who aided in building the questionnaire used in the present study and helped in revising a previous version of this manuscript. Also thanks to Dr. James W. Diller for helpful comments on an earlier version of this manuscript. Portions of the results were presented in a poster at The Student Scholars Symposium at Armstrong Atlantic State University during Spring 2011.

References

- Alloway, T., Wilson, G., & Graham, J. (2005). *Sniffy the virtual rat pro version 2.0*. Belmont: Wadsworth Cengage Learning.
- Angelucci, L. B., & Hernández, G. B. (2002). Escala de creencias sobre el uso de animales en psicología. *Analogías del Comportamiento, 5,* 47-68.
- Cherney, I. D. (2011). Active learning. In R. L. Miller et al. (Eds.), *Promoting Students Engagement Volume 1: Programs, Techniques, and Opportunities* (pp. 150-156). Society for the Teaching of Psychology, Div. 2, American Psychological Association.
- Cunningham, P. F. (2003). Animal use, student choice, and nonanimal alternatives at "America's best" undergraduate colleges. *Teaching of Psychology*, *30*, 288-296.
- Domjan, M. & Purdy, J. E. (1995). Animal research in psychology more than meets the eye of the general psychology student. *American Psychologist*, *50*, 496-503.
- Graf, S. (1995). Three nice labs, no real rats: A review of three operant laboratory simulations. *The Behavior Analyst*, *18*, 301-306.
- Graham, J., Alloway, T., & Krames, L. (1994). Sniffy, the virtual rat: Simulated operant conditioning. *Behavior Research Methods, Instruments, & Computers, 26,* 134-141.
- Guenther, C. & Miller, R. L. (2011). Factors that promote engagement. In R. L. Miller et al. (Eds.), *Promoting Students Engagement Volume 1: Programs, Techniques, and Opportunities* (pp.10-17). Society for the Teaching of Psychology, Div. 2, American Psychological Association.
- Halonen, J. S., Appleby, D. C., Brwer, C. L., Buskist, W., Gillem, A. R., Halpern, D., ... & Lloyd, M. A. (2007). *APA guidelines for the undergraduate psychology major. APA Board of Educational Affairs Task Force on Psychology Major Competencies.* Retrieved from: http://www.apa.org/ed/resources/.
- Heward, W. L. & Malott, R. W. (1995). Introduction: How the happy few might become the competent many. *The Behavior Analyst*, 18, 69-71.
- Jakubow, J. (2007). Review of the book sniffy the virtual rat pro version 2.0. *Journal of the Experimental Analysis of Behavior, 87,* 317-323.
- Plous, S. (1996). Attitudes toward the use of animals in psychological research and education. *American Psychologist*, *51*, 1167-1180.
- Sidman, M. (2011). Can an understanding of basic research facilitate the effectiveness of practitioners? Reflections and personal perspectives. *Journal of Applied Behavior Analysis*, 44, 973-991.
- Skinner, B. F. (1956) A case history in scientific method. *American Psychologist*, *11*, 221-233.
- Skinner, E. A. & Belmont, M. J. (1993). Motivation in the classroom: Reciprocal effects of teacher behavior and student engagement across the school year. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 85, 571-581.
- Tomanari, G., & Eckerman, D. (2003). O rato sniffy vai à escola. *Psicologia: Teoria e Pesquisa, 19,* 159-164.
- Venneman, S., & Knowles, L. (2005). Sniffing out efficacy: Sniffy lite, a virtual animal lab. *Teaching of Psychology*, *32*, 66-68.



- Villanueva, S. (2011). School in your skivvies: Lessons learned in promoting student engagement in a virtual versus traditional classroom setting. In R. L. Miller et al. (Eds.), *Promoting Students Engagement Volume 1: Programs, Techniques, and Opportunities* (pp.124-127). Society for the Teaching of Psychology, Div. 2, American psychological Association.
- Zhang, K., & Bonk, C. (2009). Addressing diverse learner preferences and intelligences with emerging technologies: Matching models to online opportunities. *Canadian Journal of Learning and Technology / La revue canadienne de l'apprentissage et de la technologie, 34*, 1-11. Retrieved from:

http://www.cjlt.ca/index.php/cjlt/article/view/496/227.

